

19870. Misbranding of Gliciodina. U. S. v. 24 Bottles of Gliciodina. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (7833-A. F. & D. No. 28515.)

Examination of the drug product Gliciodina, involved in this action, showed that the article would not produce certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed for it on the bottle and carton labels.

On July 28, 1932, the United States attorney for the District of Puerto Rico, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 24 bottles of the said Gliciodina at San Juan, P. R., alleging that the article was in possession of Juan R. de Torres, of San Juan, P. R., and was being offered for sale and sold in Puerto Rico, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted essentially of iodine, potassium iodide, menthol, eucalyptol, glycerin, alcohol, and water.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statements regarding its curative and therapeutic effects, were false and fraudulent, since the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed: (Carton label) "For all Diseases of the Mouth * * * Recommended for the Affections of the Mouth;" (bottle label) "For all Diseases of the Mouth."

On September 9, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

HENRY A. WALLACE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

19871. Misbranding of Penetrating Liniment. U. S. v. 28 Bottles of Penetrating Liniment. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. No. 27782. I. S. No. 42194. S. No. 5856.)

Examination of the drug product Penetrating liniment, involved in this action, disclosed no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed for it on the bottle label. The article also was found to contain chloroform and alcohol, which were not declared on the label as required by law.

On February 26, 1932, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Supreme Court of the District of Columbia, holding a District Court, a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 28 bottles of the said Penetrating liniment at Washington, D. C., alleging that the article was in the possession of White Purity Products, of Washington, D. C., and was being offered for sale and sold in the District of Columbia, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted essentially of alcohol (46.7 per cent by volume), chloroform (5.6 minims per fluid ounce), methyl salicylate (1.6 grams per 100 milliliters), boric acid (0.66 gram per 100 milliliters), distilled witch hazel extract, and water.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the package failed to bear on the label a statement of the quantity or proportion of alcohol contained therein, and a statement of the quantity or proportion of chloroform contained therein. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements regarding its curative and therapeutic effects, appearing on the bottle label, "Recommended for Lumbago, Muscular Rheumatism, Pleurisy, Sciatica, * * * Stiff Neck, Etc.," were false and fraudulent, since the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed.

On September 19, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

HENRY A. WALLACE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

19872. Misbranding of Eucaline tonic compound. U. S. v. 37 Packages of Eucaline Tonic Compound. No appearance entered. Verdict for the Government. Judgment of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. No. 28259. I. S. No. 53677. S. No. 6118.)

Examination of the drug product Eucaline tonic compound, involved in this action, disclosed no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed in the labeling.